## Carman Road Sidewalk

**PROJECT TYPE:** Bicycle and Pedestrian Beyond Preservation

PROJECT LOCATION(S): Carman Road in the Town of Guilderland, Albany

County

SPONSOR(S): Town of Guilderland

FACILITY OWNER(S): NYSDOT

**DESCRIPTION:** Project proposes to construct 1,960 linear feet of sidewalk and associated pedestrian features on the east side of Carman Road linking Okara Drive to Ann Drive and on the west side linking Morningside Drive to an existing sidewalk at Carman Plaza. The project would establish pedestrian connectivity between residential areas on the side streets and commercial destinations situated on Carman Road.

COST (INCLUDES MATCH): \$0.342 M

**DESIGN LIFE OF PROPOSED PROJECT (YEARS): 30** 

**CURRENT CONDITION:** Would be new sidewalks.

NHS AND FUNCTIONAL CLASS (IF APPLICABLE): On NHS and classified as

14 – Principal Urban Arterial Other

**ANNUAL FACILITY VALUE: N/A** 

**TRAFFIC OR OTHER VOLUME DATA (IF APPLICABLE):** No pedestrian counts exist but pedestrian traffic is currently low due to the lack of sidewalks.

PROJECT TOTAL SCORE	26.3
(UP TO 100 POINTS)	
MERIT CATEGORY	8.3
SCORE SCALED *	
WEIGHTED SCORE	18

\*See page 2 for individual merit category scores.

WEIGHTED SCORE**	18
Market Potential	В
Cost Effectiveness	А
Safety	В
Annualized Cost	25
(\$1,000's/Year)	

\*\*All benefits were translated to a qualitative rating of A, B, or C with A being high and C being low. Weighted score points were assigned as follows: A+=7, A=6, A-=5, B+=4, B=3, B-=2, C+=1, C=0. Market Potential and Safety are worth 2X Cost Effectiveness.

FUND SOURCE ELIGIBILITY: NHPP, STP Flex and STP Urban

**ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS:** None.

**SPONSOR PRIORITY: 2** 

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:** The crash history for the 5 year time period of 10/1/2010-9/30/15 included zero pedestrian crashes within the project limits. Consequently an annual dollar value safety benefit could not be tallied for this project based on NYSDOT safety benefit methodology which is used to evaluate potential future safety benefits of proposed projects.

**ELIGIBILITY ISSUES:** None identified.

MERIT CATEGORIES	NUMERIC VALUES			SCORE	
REGIONAL BENEFIT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)		-	=	-	
Benefit beyond project to transportation system or quality region	SCORE	-2	to	+5	1
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+5	1
COMMUNITY QUALITY OF LIFE & EQUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Land Use Compatibility	SCORE	-1	to	+3	1
Smart Growth	SCORE	-1	to	+3	0
Environmental Justice	SCORE	-1	to	+2	-1
Accessibility / ADA / Universal Design/Human Services Transport	SCORE	-1	to	+2	2
	SUBTOTAL	-4	to	+10	2
APPROPRIATE INFRASTRUCTURE (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Preservation/Renewal of Existing	SCORE	-2	to	+5	0
Complete Streets	SCORE	-2	to	+5	1
	SUBTOTAL	-4	to	+10	1
MULTI-MODALISM (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Transit	SCORE	-2	to	+5	2
Pedestrian	SCORE	-1	to	+3	1
Bicycle	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	-4	to	+10	3
ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH (8 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Sensitive Area Preservation/Mitigation	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction	SCORE	-1	to	+2	1
Alternative Fuels Support	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
Other Health Benefit	SCORE	-1	to	+2	1
	SUBTOTAL	-4	to	+8	2
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Economic Impact	SCORE	-2	to	+5	1
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+5	1
SAFETY & SECURITY (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Additional Safety Benefit Beyond Crash History	SCORE	-1	to	+3	1
Security and Resiliency to Natural Hazards and Human Caused Events	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+5	1
OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Traffic Operations & Reliability Improvements	SCORE	-1	to	+3	0
Use of Beneficent Advanced Technologies	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+5	0
FREIGHT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)	T				
Freight and Goods Movement	SCORE	-2	to	+5	0
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+5	0
INNOVATION (2 POINTS POSSIBLE)	L				
Innovative Solutions	SCORE	0	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	0	to	+2	0
PROJECT DELIVERY (2 POINTS POSSIBLE)				_	
On Schedule/On Budget	SCORE	-2	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+2	0
PROJECT MERIT CATEGORY SUB TOTAL (calculated)	SUBTOTAL	-28	to	+67	11