Dear Planning Committee Members:

We have attached four tables that will be used in tomorrow’s 2010-15 TIP Update discussion. Together with the Project Description table that was included in the Planning Committee mailout, the information presented in the attached tables will be used to confirm our understanding of project scopes and costs, and to share results of the CDTC staff analysis of project benefits. **There will be ample opportunity to discuss the assumptions that went into constructing these four tables at the meeting; we don’t expect you to digest all of this material overnight.**

Table 1 presents the results of the project screen exercise. The candidate projects were first screened to determine basic eligibility and consistency with New Visions 2030.

Table 2 summarizes project cost information that was included in Project Justification Packages, revised costs calculated by CDTC staff, and costs used in the draft merit evaluation. The reason for the difference between sponsor and CDTC-calculated cost is highlighted in the comment column. Significant cost differences are highlighted in green. Tomorrow’s meeting will work toward resolving the differences in costs.

Table 3 shows the results of the benefit/cost and filtering analyses portion of the merit evaluation. The filtering process evaluates projects in terms of benefit/cost, functional classification, and priority network score. The qualitative assessment of how each project will advance the goals and objectives of CDTC’s New Visions Plan is not yet complete.

Table 4 presents CDTC’s evaluation of candidate bicycle/pedestrian projects.

This information is also available on CDTC’s website [www.cdtcmpo.org](http://www.cdtcmpo.org).