

List of Proposed Changes to Project Selection Procedures

- 1) Addition of footnote number one in the responsibility section. This was the original intent and consistent practice but was not explicitly stated.
- 2) Type of Change (1)(a) now has two sections. The idea is that a solicitation will result in a change to the TIP of sufficient magnitude as to require a public review period, which happens for a Policy Board action.
- 3) In Type of Change (1)(f), "Transportation Alternatives" has replaced STP Enhancement because it has done so in federal legislation.
- 4) The heading for Type of Change "2" now has "(increase or decrease)" associated with the word "Cost". This was the original intent and consistent practice but was not explicitly stated.
- 5) In the narrative section, there was a minor reformatting of two paragraphs into a short list.
- 6) In the narrative section, paragraph C was added to the list to reflect original intent and consistent practice.
- 7) In the narrative section, paragraph D was added to the list to reflect original intent and consistent practice.
- 8) Paragraph "E" was moved from the title of Table 7 to the list of procedures.
- 9) In the guidelines table, Type of Change 1(g) "Combining two or more existing projects" changed from Planning Committee action to NYSDOT or CDTA for combining preservation projects. But members should note that in order to be combined on the TIP or STIP, CDTC staff still needs to be involved and have proper documentation from implementers. Also, if projects are combined, any scope change to, or removal of, one of the original projects, requires a scope change approval from the Planning Committee, as Type of Change 2(d). If any of the projects to be combined are not preservation projects, the action still requires Planning Committee action as Type of Change 1(h). This is because not necessarily desirable to combine any two specific projects. The reasons for that can vary and need to be considered on a case-by-case basis.